Embryonic natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery in the treatment of severe acute pancreatitis complicated by abdominal compartment syndrome
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BACKGROUND: The study aimed to estimate the value of embryonal natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (ENOTES) in treating severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) complicated with abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS).

METHODS: The patients, who were randomized into an ENOTES group and an operative group, underwent ENOTES and laparotomy, respectively. The results and complications of the two groups were compared.

RESULTS: Enterocinesia was observed earlier in the ENOTES group than in the operative group. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score of patients in the ENOTES group was lower than that of the operative group on the 1st, 3rd and 5th post-operative day \((P<0.05)\). The cure rate was 96.87% in the ENOTES group, which was statistically different from 78.12% in the operative group \((P<0.05)\). There were significant differences in complications and mortality between the two groups \((P<0.01)\).

CONCLUSION: Compared with surgical decompression, ENOTES associated with flexible endoscope therapy is an effective and minimal invasive procedure with less complications.
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INTRODUCTION
Abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) is one of the complications of severe acute pancreatitis (SAP). Damaged pancreatic cells induce tissue necrosis and the release of multiple enzymes and various inflammatory mediators, which increase the permeability of blood capillaries, resulting in systemic capillary leak syndrome (SCLS), abdominal viscera edema, seroperitoneum, enteroplegia and eventually increased intra-abdominal pressure complicated with ACS. Multiple organ failure in patients with SAP is closely correlated with intra-abdominal hypertension and ACS,\(^{[1–3]}\) if abdominal decompression is not performed timely, it is easy to cause multiple organ failure with a mortality of over 42\%.\(^{[4–6]}\) Researches\(^{[7,8]}\) have demonstrated that early interventions are necessary for abdominal decompression of SAP patients with ACS, and surgical decompression is commonly used. However, it does not reduce the mortality sometimes. Therefore, it is essential to explore effective measures to treat SAP complicated with ACS.

Embryonal natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (ENOTES) has been an endoscopic procedure for the minimally invasive treatment of digestive diseases in recent years. ENOTES can be performed with a flexible endoscope through the stomach, large intestine, vagina and bladder into the abdominal cavity.
to diagnose and treat intra-abdominal diseases. At present, NOTES and flexible endoscope surgery have been successfully used in the diagnosis and treatment for a number of intra-abdominal diseases because of their high efficacy and less invasiveness.\[9–14\] The umbilicus is an embryonic natural orifice that closes after a delivery, thus the treatment using a flexible endoscope through the umbilicus into the abdominal cavity is defined as ENOTES. In this study, we aimed to compare the efficacy of ENOTES and surgical abdominal decompression in the treatment of SAP complicated with ACS.

**METHODS**

**Clinical data**

A total of 64 patients meeting the inclusion criteria were randomized equally into two groups, ENOTES and operation. The clinical data, including age, gender, laboratory results and organ function of patients in the two groups are shown in Table 1. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Donghua Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or relatives.

**Inclusion criteria**

Diagnostic criteria for ACS included persistent intra-abdominal pressure >20 mmHg, with or without abdominal perfusion pressure (APP) <60 mmHg, and organ dysfunction or failure.\[15\]

**ENOTES and therapeutic peritoneoendoscopy**

ENOTES was done within 4 hours after admission: 1) A 0.8 cm abdominal incision was made in patients with tracheal intubation under general anesthesia. The pneumoperitoneum was created by CO\(_2\) insufflation through a disposable needle. After puncture with a needle, a guide wire was inserted through the needle to the abdominal cavity. 2) An electronic endoscope was inserted into the abdominal cavity through the cannular sheath for examination. 3) Inflammatory secretions were removed by the endoscope from the enterocoelia, which was washed with 4 000 mL normal saline. For patients with effusion of the lesser omentum sac, the gastrocolic ligament was cut off for drainage and the lesser omentum sac was cleansed with an endoscope. 4) An irrigation tube and a drain tube were respectively placed at the inferior margin of the liver and pelvic cavity under the endoscopic guidance. 5) Peritoneal lavage and dialysis were performed after administration of 2 000 mL of 1.5% glucose dialysate and retained for 1 hour in a 4-hour interval for 7 consecutive days.

**Surgical decompression and drainage**

Laparotomy was performed to remove necrotic tissue around the pancreas. Pancreatic capsular was cut to decompress and a drain tube was put into the intra-abdominal cavity.

**Routine treatment**

Routine treatment included ambrosia, gastrointestinal decompression, oxygen therapy, inhibition of pancreatic enzyme secretion, fluid resuscitation, nutrition support and prophylactic anti-infection, etc.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analysis was made with SPSS17.0 software. The data were presented as mean±standard deviation and analyzed with Student's \( t \) test, and enumeration data were calculated using the Chi-square test. A \( P \) value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The repeatedly measured data were analyzed using analysis of variance.

**RESULTS**

**Treatment overview**

There were differences in operative time and frequency, length of hospital stay and hospital expenses between the two groups (\( P<0.001 \), Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>ENOTES group</th>
<th>Operative group</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52.91±14.81</td>
<td>51.09±17.91</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>18/14</td>
<td>20/12</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP value (mg/L)</td>
<td>210.66±70.23</td>
<td>219.25±86.25</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum amylase value (U/L)</td>
<td>993.81±880.57</td>
<td>1115.00±638.85</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II score</td>
<td>14.76±6.68</td>
<td>14.82±2.09</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory dysfunction</td>
<td>87.5% (28/32)</td>
<td>84.4% (27/32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal dysfunction</td>
<td>37.5% (12/32)</td>
<td>43.8% (14/32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis</td>
<td>93.8% (30/32)</td>
<td>96.4% (31/32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteroplegia</td>
<td>96.8% (31/32)</td>
<td>96.8% (31/32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( * \)\( P<0.05 \); APACHE II: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II.
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Findings of abdominal exploration

In the ENOTES group (32 patients), peritoneoendoscopic findings included peritoneal congestion and edema in 46.9% (15) patients, peritoneal adhesive band in 18.7% (6), peritoneal ulcer in 15.5% (5), peritoneal fatty plaques in 12.5% (4), and defect of the greater omentum in 6.3% (2). The volume of abdominal cavity effusion in the 32 patients ranged from 300 to 2100 mL, with a mean of 1100 mL. In 32 patients with ascites, those with serous ascites accounted for 43.7% (14), fibrin ascites 21.8% (7), bloody ascites 18.7% (6), suppurative ascites 9.3% (3), and biliary ascites 6.2% (2). Twenty-nine (90.6%) patients had intestinal flatulence and 3 (9.4%) had intestinal congestion. Peritoneal lesions, abdominal cavity effusion, and lesion caused by the intestinal tube are shown in Figures 1–3.

Changes of bowel sounds and abdominal ressure

No significant difference in the number of bowel sounds was observed between the ENOTES and operative groups before the operation (P>0.05). Intestinal peristalsis was observed on the first day after operation in the patients who underwent endoscopic treatment, and peritoneal lavage or dialysis in the ENOTES group was done earlier than in the surgery group (the third day, Table 3). The bowel sounds in both groups were normal on the fifth day. Abdominal pressure in the ENOTES group was not markedly different from that of the operative group either before or after the operation (P> 0.05, Table 4).

Dynamic APACHE II score

APACHE II scores of patients in the ENOTES group were lower than those in the operative group on the first, third and fifth day after treatment (P<0.05), whereas there was no difference in APACHE II scores between the two groups before and the seventh day after the operation (P> 0.05, Table 5).
Therapeutic effects and complications

The cure rate was 96.87% in the ENOTES group, which was statistically different from 78.12% in the operative group ($P<0.05$). In the ENOTES group two patients had bleeding from abdominal incision and two had abdominal infection (4; 12.5%). But in the operative group, bleeding from abdominal incision was observed in 2 patients, abdominal infection in 7 patients, intra-abdominal hemorrhage in two patients, intestinal fistula in 1 patient, biliary fistula in 1 patient and ventral hernia in 2 patients (15; 46.87%). There was a significant difference in complication rate between the two groups ($P<0.01$).

Therapeutic effects and complications

The cure rate in the ENOTES group was 96.87%, which was statistically different from 78.12% in the operative group ($P<0.05$). The complications in the ENOTES group included bleeding from abdominal incision (2 patients) and abdominal infection (2). The complications in the operative group included bleeding from abdominal incision (2 patients), abdominal infection (7), intra-abdominal hemorrhage (2), intestinal fistula (1), biliary fistula (1), and ventral hernia (2). The difference in complication rate was significant between the two groups ($P<0.01$).

DISCUSSION

In recent years, surgical abdominal decompression is commonly used in the treatment of SAP complicated with ACS. Although this method is effective, the mortality is still high. This study was to evaluate the effect of ENOTES in the treatment of SAP complicated by ACS. The cure rate was statistically higher in the ENOTES group than in the operative group, and there were a lower incidence of complications and an earlier recovery of intestinal peristalsis. APACHE II score in the ENOTES group was lower than that in the operative group on the 1st, 3rd and 5th day after treatment ($P<0.05$). This finding indicated that in the treatment of SAP complicated with ACS, ENOTES is more efficacious than surgical decompression.

SAP initiates from the activation of mononuclear macrophages and neutrophils by pancreatic enzymes from abnormal pancreas and a release of a large number of cytokines and inflammatory mediators. Thus inflammatory effects are amplified to induce systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), and eventually lead to multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS). In SAP patients with SIRS, the release of abundant inflammatory mediators causes capillary endothelial damage, leakage of plasma into the interstitial space and serous cavity, SCLS and positive fluid balance. However, fluid resuscitation offsets positive fluid balance, maintains effective blood volume, and finally induces peritoneal and progressive visceral edema. In addition, diffuse pancreatic fluid collection in the peritoneal cavity and paralytic ileus finally result in a rapid increase of intra-abdominal pressure or ACS.

ACS can be divided into effusion and flatulence types according to its clinical manifestations. Patients with abdominal effusion demonstrated abundant effusion in the abdominal cavity complicated by tissue or organ edema in the intra-abdominal omentum, mesangial, intestinal canal and posterior parietal peritoneum. Those with flatulence were characterized by intestinal paralysis and restricted intestinal movement, which lead to gastrointestinal pneumatosis and effusion as well as ileus. However, both types of ACS share the same pathophysiological effects on the body, i.e. a rapid increase of intra-abdominal pressure causes ACS, while inducing intra-abdominal and systemic organ dysfunction and organ failure.
The treatment for intra-abdominal hypertension and ACS has always been the academic focus. Different from ACS induced by abdominal trauma and hemorrhagic shock, SAP complicated by ACS has both primary and secondary factors at the same time. Severe SIRS complicated by ACS aggravates SIRS and MODS. Laparotomy not only has a high risk, but also increases the chance of abdominal infection. Some patients require multiple operations, which may result in high rates of complications and mortality. Although surgical abdominal decompression is considered as an effective procedure for ACS, trauma and intra-abdominal interference as well as anesthetic effects on the liver and other organs may destroy the defense function of the body and the stability of internal environment but increase the chance of tissue infection. In addition, laparotomy also produces difficulty in abdominal closure because of high intra-abdominal pressure. Therefore, surgical decompression for early SAP complicated by ACS should be carefully considered by weighing the pros and cons of the operation. ENOTES is valuable in the treatment of SAP complicated by ACS. First, it is important to remove various substances from the abdominal cavity such as pancreatic enzymes, inflammatory mediators, vasoactive polypeptide, cellulose, necrotic tissue, blood and bile in the abdominal cavity and peripancreatic exudate. All of which may cause local or systemic damage in SAP patients with ACS. The local damage includes chemical peritonitis, enteroplegia, microcirculation disturbance and pancreatic necrosis induced by oppression of the pancreas, intra-abdominal hypertension, ACS and secondary infection at late course, in addition to adhesion, enveloping and separation formed in the abdominal cavity or around the pancreas. The systemic damage refers to the absorption of toxin in inflammatory exudates, which aggravates inflammatory response, accelerates SAP process and induces MODS, especially acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and acute renal failure. Intra-abdominal harmful substances are effectively eliminated through drainage and flushing during ENOTES. Second, ENOTES could rapidly relieve intra-abdominal hypertension, restore peristalsis and normalize intra-abdominal pressure by removing peritoneal effusion and flushing intra-abdominal harmful substances. Third, the operation could guide abdominal catheterization for continuous lavage and drainage, and timely remove postoperative inflammatory exudate in the abdominal cavity. Forth, peritoneal dialysis could be carried out to eliminate inflammatory mediators and alleviate SIRS induced by cascade amplification of inflammatory mediators. Fifth, hyperosmotic peritoneal dialysate can be used in ACS patients with flatulence to relieve edema of the bowel wall and restore peristalsis.

ENOTES with a flexible endoscope is minimally invasive in the treatment of SAP complicated by ACS. Damage control is emphasized in SAP patients with systemic inflammatory response, infection, stress and multi-organ functional disturbance. Therefore, complications such as blood loss and large surgical trauma are severe damages. Hence it is reasonable to determine minimal invasion during the treatment. ENOTES using a flexible endoscope has the following advantages: less pneumoperitoneum, single incision for endoscopy and small abdominal interference, etc which are extremely beneficial to SAP patients with ACS.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that ENOTES could rapidly remove intra-abdominal inflammatory exudates, relieve intra-abdominal hypertension, dialyze inflammatory mediators and block the vicious circle in SAP complicated by ACS. Compared with surgical abdominal decompression, ENOTES using a flexible endoscope is a more effective and minimal invasive procedure, with less complications.
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